

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/551,899 | 04/19/2000 | Harri Rajala | 0544MH-34056(RM 143) | 3555 |
| 5073 | 7590 06/02/2003 | | | |
| BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PILLAI, NAMITHA | |
| DALLAS, TX | 75201-2980 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2173 | 11 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003 | \mathcal{M} |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|---------------|
| 09/551,899 | RAJALA ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Namitha Pillai | 2173 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

| inal re | jection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| a) [| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| ee have ee unde (2) as se | ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if ed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1. | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: |
| 3. | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 4. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 5.🛛 | The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . |
| 6. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 7.🛛 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| | Claim(s) allowed: |
| | Claim(s) objected to: |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4- 6, 8 and 10-19</u> . |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 8. | The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner |
| 9. | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 10. | Other: |
| | JOHN CABECA FYAMINER |
| | JOHN CABLOS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments are non-persuasive. Isreal teaches that a graphical user interface can be created by means of a dialogue manager and its use in selecting components for the graphical user interface (Figure 5 and Figure 10). The combination of Isreal and Shaw goes further to use this dialog manager to have means for creating the graphical user interface after clearly stating that the type of graphical user interface displayed will be based on after determining the bandwidth capabilities of the client device (Shaw, column 5, lines 3-7). It is obvious from Shaw's disclosure, that this invention creates the graphical user interface of a client device, the client device representing buyers, based on the bandwidth capabilities of the client, as recited in claim 1 (column 9, lines 55-67).